

| | |
|--|---|
| Minutes NEG (Nordic Ediel Group) meeting Date: Tuesday September 20 th , 2016 Time: 09:30-15:00 Place: Statnett, Oslo September 21 st , 2016 |  |
|--|---|

Present: Anne Stine Hop, Statnett
Christian Odgaard, Energinet.dk
Jari Hirvonen, Fingrid
Jon-Egil Nordvik (NTC Convenor), Statnett
Oscar Ludwigs, Svenska kraftnät
Ove Nesvik (Secretary), Edisys

To: Anne Stine Hop, Statnett
Christian Odgaard, Energinet.dk
Jan Owe, SvK
Jari Hirvonen, Fingrid
Jon-Egil Nordvik (NTC Convenor), Statnett
Minna Arffman (Convenor), Fingrid
Oscar Ludwigs, SvK
Ove Nesvik (Secretary), EdiSys
Tor Åge Halvorsen, Nord Pool Spot

CC: Kristian Lund Bernseter, Statnett
Tor Bjarne Heiberg, Statnett



Status report from
NTC 20160920.pptx

Attachment: , see item 5, Project status: NTC

1 Approval of agenda

The agenda was approved with the following additions:

- Questionnaire from MSG, see 11.1 under AOB.

2 Approval of minutes from previous meeting

The minutes from previous meeting have already been approved by mail and are published at www.ediel.org.

3 Nordic harmonisation of the retail market

The actions from previous meeting was “transferred” to the Nordic Hub Gap Analyses project run by NRMG, which has finished a second phase (out of three proposed phases).

Anne Stine presented some Norwegian comments to the Nordic datahub gap analyses report, among others:

- Elhub has made many and detailed comments to the report, such as:
 - How is this document intended to be used? As standalone and to be published, or just internally as guidance for further work?

- If further work on this, it should elaborate on the most central processes. For this SME's from each country should be involved, e.g. workshops.
- Elhub would like to see more of the conclusions in the “Executive summary” and propose to move the last chapter (Conclusions) to the start of the document.
- There are several missing items, such as:
 - Link to Gap Analysis phase 1;
 - Processes for exchange of metering data;
 - Processes for imbalance settlement data, both to the Imbalance Settlement Responsible and the Retailers.

From discussion:

- The report is not detailed enough to be useful for harmonisation of the Nordic processes.
- The intention from NEG (see action items from previous meeting) was to start with the HNR report and update it with the latest changes in the Nordic countries, such as updating it with the Norwegian Elhub processes, new processes in Denmark (e.g. settlement), and BRSs from Finland and Sweden. This would have given a more detailed and useful report.
- The gap analyses should also look into communication platform, such as usage of MADES
- Regarding the Harmonised Role Model (HRM), Oscar mentioned the need for an extended definition of the ESCO (Energy Service Company), which in the future may get more responsibility than the Third Party (currently defined in the Nordic datahubs), and the ESCO as proposed defined in the HRM.

Conclusion:

- There is much good text in the report, but there is also much missing, such as:
 - Overview of the intention with the report, such as:
 - Which questions to be answered?
 - How to use the report?
 - What to do next?
 - Differences in communication platforms used by the hubs;
 - Exchange of metering data;
 - Imbalance settlement data, both to balance settlement responsible and the retailers.
- NEG would have liked to start with the HNR report and update it with the latest changes in the Nordic countries, such as updating it with the Norwegian Elhub processes, new processes in Denmark (e.g. settlement), and BRSs from Finland and Sweden. This would have given a more detailed report, which would have pointed even more specifically towards areas for harmonisation.

Action:

- All are asked to review the conclusions above and send possible comments to Minna, with a copy to the rest of NEG, within the end of the week.
- Minna is asked to send the conclusions as comments to NRMG unless blocking comments from NEG members.
- NTC is asked to review the Role Model in the report and if agreed, send a request for addition of the Meter Data Administrator role to the ebIX®, EFET and ENTSO-E Harmonisation Group.

4 Project status: NBS

An overview of differences in the NBS implementations between the Nordic countries, including differences in time frames and code usage, has been published on www.ediel.org.

There is a new date for start-up of NBS, May 1st 2017.

Jon-Egil informed that there are some issues between XBID and NBS, related to multiple NEMOS (power exchanges).

5 Project status: NTC

NTC had as action from previous meeting published information on www.ediel.org that there is strategic decision to migrate to CIM XML and that NEG will provide CIM XML schemas when needed. In addition, the current mapping document have been published and extended with mapping of more documents.

Jon-Egil presented the status for the NTC work, which was approved.

6 Status: MADES

MADES2 (ECP4) is supposed to be released by the end of the year. The new version will be able to do parallel processing and shall be able to handle the capacities needed for large installations.

7 ebIX®, ENTSO-E, IEC/TC57

Status:

- ebIX® arranged a seminar in July related to flexibility markets, as a preparation for meetings with the ENTSO-E DSO-TSO project and a meeting with the European Commission
 - Energinet.dk proposed to postpone this as an ebIX® project, which is supported by Norway.
 - The intention from ebIX® has however changed and the meeting was preparing information to the ENTSO-E DSO/TSO project; that ebIX® is willing to support the ENTSO-E project with technical expertise.
 - There is a wish by the TSOs to “move ebIX® into ENTSO-E”, however so far this has not been welcomed by ENTSO-E.
- Christian would like to see ebIX® modelling business processes and use CIM for the technical document exchange standards. The latter not necessarily done by ebIX®.
- There is expected to be an EMD meeting during autumn 2016, if it is possible to find participants.
- Christian stressed that new ebIX® projects, for instance related to smart grid, flexibility or similar, should be done in a new projects and not within the existing WGs (EMD/CuS).
- Jon-Egil agreed and proposed to create a new project for the new proposed EMD tasks. In general, when new items turn up, that not fits within an existing project group, a new ebIX® project should be created and shut down when the work is finished.
- Minna, Anne Stine and Oscar will participate in the coming ebIX® Forum meeting. It is uncertain if Energinet.dk will participate.
- The Nordic CIM for downstream market project has been quiet the last ½ year.

Positions:

- NEG supports the ebIX® effort to become the technical expertise in the ENTSO-E DSO/TSO project.

8 Status for www.ediel.org

www.ediel.org is regularly updated with the latest documents.

9 Reports of interesting new developments in each country

No news reported.

10 Next meeting

Thursday February 16th, 09:30 – 15:00, Stockholm (Arlanda)

11 AOB*11.1 Questionnaire from MSG*

MSG agreed to evaluate the groups- and project structure of MSG, as the workload and complexity of the tasks are increasing. The work is on track in MSG, and the groups create results, and MSG appreciate all the work. A possible reorganization is a measure to secure this progress.

The main overall questions are:

- What is the main objective of your group and how is the group(s) and work organized?

Answer:

- Up to two members are appointed by each of the four Nordic TSOs and Nord Pool Spot (NPS)
- Chairman is elected by and amongst the four Nordic TSOs and NPS for two years
- Normally NEG meet twice a year
- There is one permanent working group under NEG, NEG Technical Committee (NTC). Other temporary working groups can be set up when needed.
- Nordic Ediel Group was formed in 2003 to handle Nordic questions related to date exchange in the energy market.
- See <https://www.ediel.org/Sider/Purpose.aspx> and the Terms of reference at the bottom of the page

- Is the mandate for your group and the members of the group clear enough?

Answer:

- NEG is harmonising the data exchange between the actors in the Nordic energy market. However, there is a need for information regarding the NEG mandate, among others towards other groups within MSG.

- Is the strategic direction and visions of the Nordic cooperation clear?

Answer:

- Yes

- Is there a need for increased cross-committee cooperation to support the progress of your work? (Nordic and European)

Answer:

- NEG thinks there is a need for information regarding the NEG mandate, among others towards other groups within MSG
- How is the relation to MSG, do you get the guidance that you need? What is your expectations from MSG.

Answer:

- NEG would like a closer relationship with MSG. In addition, we would like to see a clearer distinction between the mandates of NRMG and NEG.

The NEG input to the discussion will be summarized with the comments from the other groups and projects. It is not expected that we present a new organization structure based on this work. It will serve as input to a new discussion and evaluation among the members in MSG.