Minutes: NEG Technical Committee

Date: Thursday June 5th and Friday 6th, 2014

Time: 09:30 – 09:30 and 10:00 – 11:30 (Thursday) and 12:30 – 13:00 (Friday)

Place: Electronic meeting - Lync

June 6th, 2014



Participants: Jan Owe, Svenska kraftnät

Jari Hirvonen, Fingrid Jon-Egil Nordvik (Convenor)

Kim Dahl, Statnett

Ove Nesvik, EdiSys (Secretary)

To (NTC): Antti Niemi, Nord Pool Spot

Christian Odgaard, Energinet.dk Eveliina Ishii, Nord Pool Spot Hanna Blomfelt, Nord Pool Spot Jan Owe, Svenska kraftnät Jari Hirvonen, Fingrid Jon-Egil Nordvik (Convenor)

Kim Dahl, Statnett

Marja Eronen, Nord Pool Spot

Minna Arffman, Fingrid

Ole Fredsø Weigelt, Energinet.dk Ove Nesvik, EdiSys (Secretary)

(NBS): Mats Elmér, Svenska kraftnät

Morten Hilger, Energinet.dk Morten Torgalsbøen, Statnett

Pasi Lintunen, Fingrid

(Unicorn): Filip Kral, Unicorn

Jana Makýšová, Unicorn Miloš Mojžiš, Unicorn

CC: Anders Bergqvist, Svenska kraftnät

Anne Stine Hop, Statnett

Oscar Ludwigs, Svenska kraftnät Tor Bjarne Heiberg, Statnett Tor Åge Halvorsen, NordPool

Attachment: None

1 Approval of agenda

The intention with the Lync meeting was to discuss the usage of Reason Codes in ESS Confirmation Report and Imposed Time Series related to confirmation of Bilateral Trade reports.

In addition, some issues after an ENTSO-E/ WG-EDI meeting Tuesday and Wednesday this week was discussed.

2 ENTSO-E/WG-EDI issues

Jon-Egil reported from an ENTSO-E/WG-EDI meeting June 3rd and 4th, see 2.1 - 2.3 below.

2.1 Portfolio ID

NTC has sent a MR to WG-EDI asking for addition of a Portfolio ID in the ESS schedule document. However, WG-EDI rejected the MR, with the following two suggestions:

- Using Subject Party
 - o The Subject Part is on header level, hence only one Portfolio can be sent in each document
- Using Agreement ID
 - o Wrong text and definition, but covers the NBS needs

Conclusion:

It was agreed to use the Agreement ID for the Portfolio ID

Homework:

• Ove will correct the BRS(s)

2.2 XML-issues regarding local extensions and restrictions

There was no time to discuss the XML-issues regarding local extensions and restrictions. Jon-Egil and Fedder will follow up at the next WG-EDI meeting.

2.3 Addition of Process Type in the acknowledgement document

NTC has also sent a MR to WG-EDI asking for addition of a Process Type to the Acknowledgement document (MR: NTC 2014/122). However, WG-EDI was sceptical also to this MR, i.e. to introduce a Process Type in the acknowledgement document. As a conclusion, WG-EDI issued a homework to verify implications for national implementations.

The item will be added to the agenda for next NTC face-to-face meeting.

3 Review of usage of Reason Codes in ESS Confirmation Report and Imposed Time Series related to confirmation of Bilateral Trade reports

The Excel sheet with examples of usage of Reason Codes in ESS Confirmation Report and Imposed Time Series related to confirmation of Bilateral Trade reports from Unicorn was discussed and updated.

It was a longer discussion regarding when to use ESS Confirmation Report and when to use Imposed Time Series

Options:

- 1. Always using A85 (Confirmation without adjustment) or A86 (Confirmation with adjustment) if there is a TS-ID to reference and using imposed TS only if there is no TS-ID to reference from the BRP in question
- 2. Only using Imposed TS to the counterpart if the counterpart in question has not sent anything yet. This implies that a BP that already has sent a bilateral trade report always will get a TS Confirmation with Business Type A85 or A86
- 3. Always using Imposed TS if a value from the BRP in question have been changed

The first alternative seems as the most correct. The item will however be finally agreed at the next face-to-face meeting, June 23rd.

Some conclusions (to be finally agreed at the next face-to-face meeting, June 23rd):

- As long as there is a Time Series Identification available (i.e. a NBS has received a Bilateral Trade Report from the BRP in question), the Time Series Confirmation class will be used. I.e. the Business type will always be A85 (Confirmation without adjustment) or A86 (Confirmation with adjustment). If no Time Series Identification is available (i.e. NBS has not received a Bilateral Trade Report from the BRP in question), the Imposed Time Series class will be used.
- The reason code at Interval level will only be used if Reason Code at Time Series Confirmation level is "A86 Confirmation with adjustment (time series have been modified)". I.e. Not used in imposed TS.
- To be able to send only the hours with a match in the final confirmation report there can be gaps in the sequence of the Position element in the Interval class.

Homework:

• Jan will investigate the rules for usage of sign (+/-) for delta and matched values (since this is a process currently used in Sweden)

4 Next meeting

I. Monday June 23rd, 09:00 – 17:00, Copenhagen

Additional agenda items:

- o Routing of acknowledgements versus MADES for routing purposes
- Homework from latest ENTSO-E meeting to make a justification for using delta values in the ESS confirmation report

5 AOB

No items